Gene 422

QTL detection and QTL-mapping

Detecting associations between markers and genes (QTL)

- QTL = Quantitative Trait Locus / Loci
- parts of the genome that effect quantitative traits ("genes")
- We want to know the position of QTL and their effect

SOME IDENTIFIED QTL

- Genestar Marbling
- Genestar Tenderness
- Carwell
- Footrot
- Callipyge Gene
- Booroola Gene
- Inverdale Gene
- Hanna Gene
- Woodland Gene
- Double Muscling Gene
- DGAT Milk Fat%

commercialized



Fecundity



QTL detection with gene markers

Genetic markers

Principle of mapping

Associations between markers and (quantitative) traits

QTL detection and mapping

Genetic markers

Microsatellites

Microsatellites are DNA regions with variable numbers of short tandem repeats in nucleotide sequence (CA repeats in the diagram here). Each microsatellite locus is recognised or targeted by its primers - the two unique sequences adjacent to the repeating region.



Microsatellites make good genetic markers because they each have many different 'alleles' - ie. there can be many different lengths of the repeat region. With many alleles, most individuals are heterozygous, giving power to note association between marker allele and performance in progeny inheriting a favourable linked QTL allele.

Mapping: Recombination



Classical Mapping: Using a test cross

Parent 1	AABB	Х	aal	ob	Pare	nt 2
F1		AaBt) (100%)		
F1-gametes		AB	Ab	aB	ab	
11 A and B are unlinl	ked:	25	25	25	25	
A and B linked:		35	15	15	35	
A and B tightly lin	ked	48	2	2	48	

Classical Mapping: Using a test cross							
Parent 1	AABB	Х	aat	ob	Parent 2		
F1	AaBb (100%)						
F1-gametes	frequencies	AB	Ab	aB	ab		
A and B are unlinked:		25	25	25	25		
A and B linked:		35	15	15	35		
A and B tightly l	inked	48	2	2	48		
			R	R			

R = **Recombinants**

Classical Mapping: Using a test cross						
Parent 1	AABB	2	K	aabb	F	Parent 2
F1		AaBt	o (1009	%)		
F1-gametes fre	quencies	AB	Ab	aB	ab	
A and B are unlinke	ed:	25	25	25	25	50
A and B linked:		35	15	15	35	30
A and B tightly link	ed	48	2	2	48	4

% Recomb. Frequency

Genetic linkage maps

• Genetic distances determined according to recombination fraction between each pair of loci.

• The unit of measure is Morgans (or centimorgans, cM)

• A mapping function translates a genetic distance (cM) into recombination fractions

Mapping functions



Maps



On the left is the linkage map derived from studies on coinheritance of alleles. Most loci are microsatelites or RFLPs. Distances between loci are given in units of centimorgans (100 units is equivalent to a 50% recombination fraction). In the center is a representation of the chromosome with its visible banding regions. Some loci have been localised through, for example, observing fluorescing DNA from the gene of interest 'sticking' to particular regions. On the right is a list of loci associated with chromosome 1 through work with somatic cell hybrid lines. For example, alleles at these loci might all be present in a cell line containing only chromosome 1 from cattle, the rest from, say, hampsters. Detecting associations between markers and genes (QTL)

- QTL = Quantitative Trait Loci
- parts of the genome that effect quantitative traits ("genes")
- We want to know the position of QTL and their effect (of allele substitution)

Polygenes and major genes.

True situation Genome	<u>Genetic</u>	<u>Effects</u> Environment	<u>Phenotype</u>
	+16	-10	+6
B +2 -0 -2 +1 +1 +3	+5	+9	+14
	-10	+20	+10



The distribution of QTL effects



- Maybe 5-10 large QTL explain the majority of the genetic variance.
- Mapping experiments should be able to detect QTL as small as 0.2σp?

Many small QTL, few of large effect

Gene discovery by mapping Dairy Cattle: DGAT1 - A success story

- 1. Linkage mapping **detects a QTL** on bovine chromosome 14 with large effect on fat % (Georges et al1995)
- Linkage disequilibrium mapping
 refines position of QTL (Riquet et al. 1999)
- 3. Selection of candidate genes. Sequencing **reveals point mutation** in candidate (DGAT1). This mutation found to be functional - substitution of lysine for analine. Gene patented. (Grisart et al. 2002)







Indirect genetic markers

Order the offspring by marker allele received ...













Direct genetic markers



B - always triangle, always bad





d = dominance effect of the QTL

Determining associations between genetic markers and QTL with single markers

recombination rate / frequency between marker allele and QTL allele is r.

Parental genotype

Possible	gametes	Recombination?	Gamete probability
A1	Q	no	(1-r)/2
A2	Q	yes	r/2
A1	q	yes	r/2
A2	q	no	(1-r)/2

Note that probabilities hold only for this parental genotype.

Expected progeny means of each marker group

- The difference between progeny receiving Q vs. progeny receiving q from their sire is the **allele-substitution effect**: α .

Marker allele from sire	QTL allele from sire	Frequency	Expected mean
A1	Q	(1-r)/2	$\mu + \alpha$
A1	q	r/2	μ
A2	Q	r/2	$\mu + \alpha$
A2	q	(1-r)/2	μ

Marker allele from sire	QTL allele from sire	Frequency	Expected mean
A1	Q	(1-r)/2	$\mu + \alpha$
A1	q	r/2	μ
A2	Q	r/2	$\mu + \alpha$
A2	q	(1-r)/2	μ

Expected difference between A1-group and A2-group:					
A1-group:	$((\mu + \alpha)(1-r)/2 + (r/2).\mu) / 0.5 =$	μ + (1-r) α			
A2-group	$((\mu + \alpha)r/2 + ((1-r)/2)\mu) / 0.5 =$	$\mu + r \alpha$			
Difference (D)		(1-2r)α			

Note that the difference is affected by both r and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

Some possible outcomes $D = (1 - 2r)\alpha$

Recombination rate M-Q	QTL-effect Q-q substitution	Mean of progeny ¹ receiving	Mean of progeny ¹ receiving	Marker allele contrast
r	α	A1-allele	A2-allele	D
0	50	50	0	50
0.1	62.5	56.25	6.25	50
0.2	83	67	17	50
0.3	125	87.5	37.5	50
0.4	250	150	100	50
0.5	1000	500	500	0

¹ Mean is relative to the progeny receiving a q-allele from the sire.

Rehash



- The presence of a QTL is tested by determining whether the marker classes differ in their trait means
- The difference depends on the recombination fraction and the QTL effect
- Formally, but simply, you would test this with a t-test

Analysis of trait differences for single markers

• If there is a (significant) marker effect then

– the marker is linked to a QTL

- there could be a large QTL far away from marker
 or
- can be a smaller QTL but closer to marker

Single vs multiple markers



A1	Q	B1
A2	q	B2

Single markers: not possible to distinguish between QTL effect and QTL position Two (or more) markers: no confounding between QTL effect and QTL position

Proper mapping of a QTL requires the use of multiple marker genotypes

Interval mapping

- Testing pairs of markers
- Many pairs to cover ideally the whole genome
- The position with the highest statistical evidence is the estimated QTL position
-or there can be more than one QTL
- Increased power of detection

QTL detection with markers



Other mapping methods

Composite interval mapping

Joint mapping

• Variance component models

Statistical testing issues

- Power
- Information content
- Significance levels/ Multiple Testing
 - Rule of thumb
 - Bonferroni correction
 - Permutation testing
- Confidence interval
 - 1 LOD drop off
 - Bootstrap

How many animals do we need to measure?





How many offspring needed per ram? Power of QTL detection?

	Pow	rer
In phenotypic SI	80%	95%
difference	nr.offspring	
0.4	199	330
0.5	127	211
0.6	88	147
0.7	65	108
0.8	50	82
1	32	53

Some loss due to recombinations
Loss due to uninformative progeny
Need a stricter type 1 error
Can save genotyping costs by
> selective genotyping
 (100%P, 50%G, equal power)
> DNA pooling



New generation mapping based on dense SNP markers

LD and IBD probability between haplotypes



IBD depends on # markers in common L+R from putative QTL position Markers are dense (e.g. 1 cM intervals)

IBD = identity by descent